

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,541	11/18/2005	Erick Von Schweber	6266P001	9446
8791 12/15/2009 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY			EXAMINER	
			PORTER, WILLIAM ERNEST	
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/533 541 VON SCHWEBER ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit WILLIAM PORTER 3623 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 November 2005. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-52 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _______.

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5 Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/533,541

Art Unit: 3623

DETAILED ACTION

The following is an **ELECTION/RESTRICTION** office action upon application number 10/533,541. Claims 1-28, 29-30, 31-36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44-48 and 49-50 are subject to election restriction as discussed below.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-28, drawn to a method for information surveying.

Group II, claim(s) 29-30, drawn to a method for generating a survey lattice.

Group III, claim(s) 31-36, drawn to a method for forming a predicate lattice.

Group IV, claim 37, drawn to a method to represent predicate lattice.

Group V, claim 38, drawn to a method to augment an index by associating a predicate lattice element.

Group VI, claim 39, drawn to a method to augment a conceptual structure.

Group VII, claim 40, drawn to a method to augment a category system.

Group VIII, claim 41, drawn to a method to augment a database system.

Group IX, claim 42, drawn to a data structure wherein survey lattice elements are based on a source from a group of sources.

Group X, claim 43, drawn to a system of an information structure augmented with a predicate lattice element.

Group XI, claim(s) 44-48, drawn to a system for conducting information surveying.

Group XII, claim(s) 49-52, drawn to a user interface to enable a user to survey an information resource.

Art Unit: 3623

Inventions I and II - XII are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as a method to generate a survey lattice as a specialization of a predicate lattice; subcombination III has separate utility such as a method to form a predicate lattice; subcombination IV has separate utility such as a method to represent predicate lattice elements; subcombination V has separate utility such as a method to augment an index by associating a predicate lattice element; subcombination VI has separate utility such as a method to augment a conceptual structure by associating a predicate lattice element; subcombination VII has separate utility such as a method to augment a category system by associating a predicate lattice element; subcombination VIII has separate utility such as a method to augment a database system by associating a predicate lattice element with an attribute of a database system; subcombination IX has separate utility such as a data structure wherein survey lattice elements are based on a source from a group of sources; subcombination X has separate utility such as a system of an information structure augmented with a predicate lattice element; subcombination XI has separate utility such as a system to conduct an information survey; and subcombination XII has separate utility such as user interface to enable a user to survey an information resource. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a Application/Control Number: 10/533.541

Art Unit: 3623

serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (b) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention:
- (c) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include

(i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37

CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,541 Page 5

Art Unit: 3623

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to WILLIAM PORTER whose telephone number is (571)270-7786. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Through Thursday 8 - 4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Beth Boswell can be reached on (571) 272-6737. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)? If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/W.P./

/Beth V. Boswell/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3623